

## Administrative Unit State Performance Plan Indicator Profile 2007-2008

## Administrative Unit: San Luis Valley BOCS

| Total Student Enrollment | 8,048 |
| :--- | :---: |
| Child Count (students with disabilities) TOTAL | 681 |
| Infants Aged 0-2 | 0 |
| Aged 3-5 | 90 |
| Aged 6-21 | 591 |

Colorado's State Performance Plan (2007) Data Indicators

Indicator 1: Graduation Rates

| Graduation Rates | AU Rate | State <br> Target | State <br> Actual |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Percent of students with disabilities ages <br> $14-21$ who graduated with a regular <br> diploma. | $\mathbf{8 5 . 3 \%}$ | $\mathbf{5 5 \%}$ | $\mathbf{6 2 . 9 3 \%}$ |

Indicator 2: Drop-Out Rates

| Drop-Out Rates | AU Rate | State <br> Target | State <br> Actual |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Percent of students with disabilities <br> ages $14-21$ who dropped out or <br> moved and are not known to be <br> continuing. | $\mathbf{1 4 . 7 \%}$ | $\mathbf{3 9 . 5 \%}$ | $\mathbf{3 1 . 6 4 \%}$ |
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| Indicator 3: Assessment |
| :--- |
| Participation and Performance Rates | AU | State |
| :---: |
| Target |$\quad$| State |
| :---: |
| Performance |$|$| Participation rate of students with <br> disabilities for Reading assessments <br> Participation rate of students with <br> disabilities for Math assessments | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{9 9 . 5 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| Proficiency rate for Reading assessments: <br> CSAP \& CSAPA | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{9 9 . 5 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ |
| Proficiency rate for Math assessments: <br> CSAP \& CSAPA | $\mathbf{4 0 . 6 \%}$ | $\mathbf{5 2 . 5 \%}$ | $\mathbf{5 0 . 6 \%}$ |
| Percent of Districts in Colorado Making <br> AYP Objectives for Progress for the <br> Disability Subgroup | $\mathbf{5 5 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 . 6 8 \%}$ |  |

Indicator 4: Suspension/Expulsion

| Discipline Rates Per 100 Students |  |
| :--- | :---: |
| Overall state rate of students with <br> disabilities with long-term <br> suspensions/expulsions <br> Cut-Off for Significant Discrepancy <br> from State Average | $\mathbf{0 . 7 1}$ Students per |
| AU Rate | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ |
|  |  |
| AU Rate Exceeded Benchmark? | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ |
| *Calculation Suppressed Due to Insufficient Cell Size (N<10) |  |

Indicator 5: School Age Least Restrictive Environment (LRE)

| Program/Placement | AU | State <br> Target | State <br> Performance |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Percent of students with disabilities in <br> regular class at least 80\% of the time | $\mathbf{6 8 . 9 \%}$ | $\mathbf{7 0 . 7 \%}$ | $\mathbf{6 3 . 1 \%}$ |
| Percent of students with disabilities in <br> regular class less than 40\% of the time | $\mathbf{1 . 9 \%}$ | $\mathbf{7 . 6 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 1 . 8 \%}$ |
| Percent of students with disabilities in <br> separate schools/facilities, homebound <br> or hospital | $\mathbf{2 . 2 \%}$ | $\mathbf{4 . 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{4 . 6 \%}$ |

## Indicator 6: Preschool LRE

| Program/Placement | AU | State <br> Target | State <br> Performance |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Percent of preschool students with <br> disabilities in early childhood <br> settings |  |  |  |

## Indicator 8: Parent Involvement

| Survey Responses | AU | State <br> Target | State <br> Performance |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Percent of who responded to the <br> parent survey and reported that <br> schools facilitated parent involvement <br> to improve services and results. | $* *$ | $\mathbf{5 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{3 1 . 7 \%}$ |
| $* *$ Calculation suppressed due to insufficient cell size (N < 30) |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |

Indicator 9: Disproportionality - Child with a Disability
Indicator 10: Disproportionality - Eligibility Category

| Disproportionality | Inappropriate <br> Identification | State <br> Target | State <br> Performance |  | Disproportionality | Inappropriate <br> Identification | State <br> Target |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Does the district have <br> disproportionate representation <br> of racial and ethnic groups in <br> special education and related <br> services that is the result of <br> inappropriate identification? | No |  | $0 \%$ | Does the district have <br> disproportionate <br> representation of <br> racial and ethnic <br> groups in specific <br> disability categories <br> that is the result of <br> inappropriate <br> identification? | $\mathbf{0 \%}$ | No | $\mathbf{0 \%}$ |

Indicator 11: Child Find

| Evaluation/Eligibility Timelines | AU | State <br> Target | State <br> Performance |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Percent of children with parental consent <br> to evaluate, who were evaluated and <br> eligibility was determined within 60 days | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{9 2 . 6 \%}$ |

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

| Transition | AU | State <br> Target | State <br> Performance |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Percent of youth aged 16 and above with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the postsecondary goals | 67.0\% | 100.00\% | 49.4\% |

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

| Transition from Part C to Part B | AU | State <br> Target | State <br> Performance |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Percent of children referred by Part <br> C prior to age 3, whose eligibility <br> was determined with an IEP | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{9 6 . 7 \%}$ |
| implemented by their third <br> birthday (includes students found <br> not eligible). |  |  |  |

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

| Transition | AU | State <br> Target | State <br> Performance |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Percent of youth who had IEPs, are no longer in secondary school, and have been: <br> a) competitively employed <br> b) enrolled in some type of post secondary school or <br> c) both <br> within one year of leaving high school. | ** | 56\% | 84.9\% |

